

Iridium-Catalyzed C–C Coupling of a Simple Propargyl Ether with Primary Alcohols: Enantioselective Homoaldol Addition via Redox-Triggered (*Z*)-Siloxyallylation

Tao Liang, Wandi Zhang, and Michael J. Krische*

Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A chiral iridium complex formed *in situ* from $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$ and (R)-H₈-BINAP is found to catalyze the direct enantioselective C–C coupling of a simple propargyl ether, TIPSOCH₂C=CH, with primary alcohols to form γ -hydroxy (Z)-enol silanes with uniformly high enantioselectivity and complete alkene (Z)-stereoselectivity. As corroborated by deuterium labeling studies, these studies represent the first examples of 1,2-hydride shift-enabled π -allyl formation in the context of iridium catalysis.

arbonyl addition ranks among the most broadly utilized methods for C-C bond formation.¹ Traditionally, the delivery of non-stabilized carbanions to carbonyl compounds has relied on the use of main-group organometallic reagents.² In a significant departure from classical carbonyl addition chemistry, we have developed a broad family of "redox-triggered carbonyl additions," wherein hydrogen transfer from alcohols to π -unsaturated reactants generates transient carbonyl-organometal pairs en route to products of C-C coupling.³ By merging the characteristics of carbonyl addition and transfer hydrogenation in this way, the direct conversion of lower alcohols to higher alcohols is achieved in the absence of stoichiometric metals or discrete alcohol-to-carbonyl redox reactions.³ For example, in the context of carbonyl allylation and crotylation,^{4,5} these concepts have enabled a progression from the use of chiral allyl- and crotylmetal reagents to direct site-selective catalytic asymmetric conversions of primary alcohols to secondary homoallylic alcohols using abundant commodity chemical feedstocks, such as allyl acetate⁶ or butadiene.⁷

In more recent work based on these principles, ruthenium catalysts were found to promote C–C bond formation between primary alcohols and *internal* alkynes.^{8a,b,9} As corroborated by deuterium labeling studies, these transformations proceed by way of alkyne-to-allene isomerization. The intermediate allene then couples to the carbonyl partner through oxidative coupling^{8a} or hydrometalative^{8b} pathways. Remarkably, we found that propargyl ethers participate in ruthenium-catalyzed C–C couplings with primary alcohols through an entirely different mechanism involving an unprecedented 1,2-hydride shift-enabled π -allyl formation.^{8c} These transformations deliver enantiomerically enriched γ -hydroxy- β -methyl enol silanes, but with poor control of enol (*E:Z*)-selectivity. Further, ruthenium-based catalysts failed to promote the C–C coupling of the *terminally unsubstituted* propargyl ethers. Here, demonstrating

the generality of this new pathway for π -allyl formation and overcoming the aforesaid limitations in scope, we report that chiral iridium complexes catalyze the direct C–C coupling of the simple propargyl ether **1a**, TIPSOCH₂C≡CH (TIPS = ⁱPr₃Si), with primary alcohols **2a**-**2l** to form γ -hydroxy (*Z*)enol silanes **3a**-**3l** with uniformly high enantioselectivity and complete enol (*Z*)-stereoselectivity. These processes represent a divergence from the classical acetylide addition chemistry of terminal alkynes,^{10,11} allowing propargyl ethers to serve as homoenolate equivalents^{12,13} in the absence of stoichiometric metals (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Classical and redox-triggered carbonyl addition chemistry of terminal alkynes.

Initial experiments involved exposure of propargyl ether 1a (300 mol%) to *p*-bromobenzyl alcohol 2c (100 mol%) in the presence of $[Ir(cod)Cl]_2$ (2.5 mol%) and (*R*)-BINAP (5 mol%) in toluene solvent at 95 °C. The desired product of C–C coupling, γ -hydroxy enol silane 3c, was formed with complete enol (*Z*)-stereoselectivity in 14% isolated yield and 87% ee (Table 1, entry 1). It was found that Bu₄NI (10 mol%) and Ph₃CCO₂H (5 mol%) each have a beneficial effect on conversion. When they were used together, a 47% isolated

Received: November 19, 2015 Published: December 15, 2015

Table 1. Selected Optimization Experiments in the Enantioselective Coupling of Propargyl Ether 1 and *p*-Bromobenzyl Alcohol 2c To Form γ -Hydroxy Enol Silane $3c^{a}$

PO OH		OH	[Ir(cod)Cl] ₂ (2.5 mol%) Ligand (5 mol%)		OH Ar			
			PhMe (1	PhMe (1 M)		RÓ 20 B = TIDS		
1a, 1b	1a, R = 11PS 1b, R = TES		95 °C, 2	:4 h	3C, R = HPS 3c-TES R = TES			
1c,	1c , R = TBS (100 mol %)					3c-TBS, R = TBS		
Entry	1	Ligand	Bu ₄ NI	Ph ₃ CCO ₂ H	3c (Yield, Z:E)	ee%		
1	1a . 300 m	ol% (R)-BINA			14%. >20:1	87		
2	1a, 300 m	ol% (<i>R</i>)-BINA	AP 10 mol%	-	21%, >20:1	92		
3	1a, 300 m	ol% (R)-BINA	λP -	5 mol%	23%, >20:1	87		
4	1a, 300 m	ol% (<i>R</i>)-BINA	AP 10 mol%	5 mol%	47%, >20:1	90		
5	1a. 300 m	ol% (<i>R</i>)-H ₈ -BI	NAP 10 mol%	5 mol%	55%, >20:1	91		
6	1a. 400 m	ol% (<i>R</i>)-BINA	AP 10 mol%	5 mol%	64%, >20:1	93		
⇒ 7	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-H ₈ -BI	NAP 10 mol%	5 mol%	86%. >20:1	91		
8	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-Ha-BIN	NAP 10 mol%	-	38%, >20:1	88		
9	1a, 400 m	ol% (R)-H ₈ -BI	NAP -	5 mol%	58%, >20:1	85		
10	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-SEGPI	HOS 10 mol%	5 mol%	30%, >20:1	96		
11	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-MeO-Bl	PHEP 10 mol%	5 mol%	47%, >20:1	94		
12	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-C3-TUNE	PHOS 10 mol%	5 mol%	32% >20:1	91		
13	1a. 400 m	ol% (R)-BIPHE	MP 10 mol%	5 mol%	48% >20:1	86		
14 ^b	1a, 400 m	ol% (R)-He-BI	NAP -	5 mol%	83%, >20:1	84		
15	1b. 400 m	ol% (R)-H ₀ -BI	NAP 10 mol%	5 mol%	36%, >20:1	90		
16	1c, 400 m	ol% (<i>R</i>)-H ₈ -BI	NAP 10 mol%	5 mol%	56%, >20:1	91		
PPh ₂ PPh ₂								
(R)-BINAP			(<i>R</i>)-H8-BINAP	(R)-BIPHEMP				
O O O O PPh ₂ O O PPh ₂ O PPh ₂ MeO PPh ₂ MeO PPh ₂ PPh ₂ MeO PPh ₂								
(R)-SEGPH	os (/	(R)-C3-TUNEPHOS		(R)-MeO-BIPHEP			

"Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography and represent the average of two runs. Enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. See Supporting Information for further details. $^{b}[Ir(cod)I]_{2}$.

yield of 3c was obtained, and the level of enantiomeric enrichment increased to 90% ee (Table 1, entries 2-4). Conversion was enhanced further by increasing the loading of propargyl ether 1a (400 mol%) and using the chiral iridium catalyst modified by (R)-H₈-BINAP (Table 1, entries 5–7). Under these conditions, γ -hydroxy enol silane **3c** was formed in 86% isolated yield with complete enol (Z)-stereoselectivity and 91% ee (Table 1, entry 7). These optimal conditions require the additives Bu₄NI (10 mol%) and Ph₃CCO₂H (5 mol%) (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). Only selected examples of the many phosphine ligands evaluated in this study are shown (Table 1, entries 10-13). While other ligands could enforce higher enantioselectivities in certain cases, the highest isolated yield of 3c was obtained using the iridium catalyst modified by (R)-H₈-BINAP (Table 1, entry 7). Notably, a comparable isolated yield of 3c could be obtained using the iridium catalyst generated in situ from $[Ir(cod)I]_2$ (2.5 mol%) and (R)-H₈-BINAP in the absence of Bu₄NI (Table 1, entry 14), suggesting the role of Bu₄NI involves formation of an iodide modified iridium catalyst. A proposed catalytic mechanism accounting for the role of both Bu₄NI and Ph₃CCO₂H is provided (vide infra). Silvl ethers 1b (TES = Et_3Si) and 1c (TBS = ${}^{t}BuMe_2Si$) gave diminished yields of the siloxyallylation products 3c-TES and 3c-TBS, respectively (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Finally, it should be noted that the internal alkyne TIPSOCH₂C \equiv CMe,

which under the conditions of ruthenium catalysis reacts efficiently with primary alcohols to form products of siloxy-crotylation, does not engage in C–C coupling under the present conditions of iridium catalysis.

To evaluate the scope of this process, optimal conditions were applied to the coupling of propargyl ether 1a to alcohols 2a-2l (Table 2). Benzylic alcohols 2a-2f were converted to

Table 2. Enantioselective Iridium-Catalyzed C-C Coupling
of Propargyl Ether 1a with Alcohols 2a–2l To Form
Products of (Z)-Siloxyallylation, γ-Hydroxy Enol Silanes 3a-
$3l^a$



^{*a*}Yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for further experimental details. ^{*b*}Adamantane carboxylic acid was used instead of Ph₃CCO₂H.

adducts 3a-3f, respectively, in excellent isolated yield, complete enol (Z)-stereoselectivity and uniformly high levels of enantioselectivity. As illustrated by the conversion of benzylic alcohol 2d to adduct 3d, ortho-substitution is tolerated. Allylic alcohols 2g-2i were converted to adducts 3g-3i, respectively, in good isolated yields with complete enol (Z)stereoselectivity and enantioselectivities greater than 90% ee. For aliphatic alcohols 2j-2l, slightly lower isolated yields were obtained in the formation adducts 3j-3l, but high levels of stereoselectivity persisted. Increased loadings of propargyl ether 1a did not enhance the isolated yield of 3j-3l. To verify the absolute stereochemical assignment of adducts 3a-3l, compound 3a was subjected to hydrolysis followed by borohydride reduction to form the previously reported compound, (S)-1phenylbutane-1,4-diol.¹⁴ The functional group array embodied by γ -hydroxy enol silanes 3a-3l offer numerous possibilities for

elaboration. For example, treatment of adducts 3a, 3c, 3e, 3f, 3h and 3j with TBAF followed by pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) mediated oxidation provides the enantiomerically enriched γ -lactones 4a, 4c, 4e, 4f, 4h, and 4j (eq 1).^{8c} Additionally, peracid-mediated epoxidation of adducts 3a, 3c, 3e, 3f, 3j, and 3k, occurs in a highly diastereoselective manner to furnish the trisubstituted furans 5a, 5c, 5e, 5f, 5j, and 5k, (eq 2).¹³



Deuterium labeling experiments were performed to probe the catalytic mechanism. Exposure of propargyl ether 1a to *deuterio-*2c under standard conditions provided *deuterio-*3c, for which deuterium is observed at the allylic (26% ²H) and carbinol (>95% ²H) positions (eq 3). Coupling of the

TIPSO		Standard Conditions Ar = <i>p</i> -BrPh	H _b OH H _a H _c H _d TIPSO H _c	H _a (0% ² H) H _b (0% ² H) H _c (26% ² H) H _d (>95% ² H)	(eq. 3)
1a (100 mol %)	deuterio-2c		deuterio-3c	\	
(400 1101 %)	(100 1101%)		01% field, 20.1 (2.2)	
	OH R	Standard Conditions Ar = p-BrPh	H _b OH H _a H _c H _c H _d TIPSO H _c	H _a (100% ² H) H _b (100% ² H) H _c (0% ² H) H _d (0% ² H)	(eq. 4)
<i>deuterio-1a (400 mol %)</i>	2c (100 mol%)		<i>deuterio-3c 75% Yield, >20:1 (Z:E)</i>)	

deuterated propargyl ether *deuterio*-1a with alcohol 2c under standard reaction conditions provided *deuterio*-3c, for which complete deuterium incorporation is observed at each vinylic position (eq 4). In both reactions, the pattern of deuterium incorporation was as determined by ¹H and ²H NMR and analyses.

These data corroborate the indicated catalytic mechanism involving 1,2-hydride shift enabled π -allyl formation (Scheme 1). Specifically, alkyne complexation by low-valent iridium induces 1,2-hydride shift to form vinylcarbene II. The $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$ interaction of the oxygen lone pair with the propargylic C-H bond is crucial in terms of promoting 1,2-hydride shift, as in the absence of the ether functional group products of (Z)siloxyallylation are not observed. Related 1,2-hydride shifts have been observed in metal-catalyzed cycloisomerizations of N-tethered 1,6-enynes,¹⁵ including iridium-catalyzed reactions.¹⁶ Protonation of vinylcarbene II delivers the siloxyallyliridium complex III. Aldehvde association triggers carbonyl addition through the closed, chair-like transition structure IV. A model accounting for the absolute stereochemistry and (Z)enol selectivity is provided (Scheme 1). Addition occurs by way of the σ -allyliridium haptomer where iridium is attached to the oxygen-bearing carbon, presumably due to the negative inductive effect of oxygen and formation of a less-congested C-C bond. The resulting homoallylic iridium alkoxide V exchanges with a reactant alcohol 2c to release the product of (Z)-siloxyallylation **3c**. β -Hydride elimination of the primary iridium alkoxide VI provides the aldehyde dehydro-2c and the iridium(III) hydride complex VII. Finally, H-X reductive elimination returns iridium to its low-valent form to close the catalytic cycle.

In summary, we report the direct iridium-catalyzed C–C coupling of a simple propargyl ether, TIPSOCH₂C \equiv CH, with primary alcohols **2a–2l** to form products of siloxyallylation, γ -hydroxy (Z)-enol silanes **3a–3l**. Uniformly high levels of enantioselectivity and complete enol (Z)-stereoselectivity are observed. As corroborated by deuterium labeling studies, these transformations proceed through a novel 1,2-hydride shift mechanism that converts a metal bound alkyne to a vinyl carbene that protonates to form a nucleophilic π -allyliridium complex. These processes represent a departure from the classical carbonyl addition chemistry of terminal alkynes and raise numerous possibilities for the development of related C–C couplings beyond stoichiometric carbanions.

Scheme 1. General Catalytic Mechanism As Corroborated by Deuterium Labeling Experiments and Model Accounting for Absolute Stereochemistry and Enol (Z)-Selectivity



ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b12131.

Experimental procedures and spectral data; HPLC traces corresponding to racemic and enantiomerically enriched samples (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*mkrische@mail.utexas.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) are acknowledged for partial support of this research.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Knochel, P.; Molander, G. A. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Elsevier, Oxford, 2014; Vols. 1 and 2. (b) Otera, J. Modern Carbonyl Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2000.

(2) Grignard, V. Compt. Rend. 1900, 130, 1322.

(3) Review: Ketcham, J. M.; Shin, I.; Montgomery, T. P.; Krische, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9142.

(4) For the seminal report on enantioselective carbonyl allylation using chirally modified allylmetal reagents, see: (a) Herold, T.; Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1978**, *17*, 768.

(5) For selected reviews on enantioselective carbonyl allylation and crotylation, see: (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. **1993**, 93, 2207. (b) Ramachandran, P. V. Aldrichimica Acta **2002**, 35, 23. (c) Kennedy, J. W. J.; Hall, D. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, 42, 4732. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Fu, J. Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 2763. (e) Yu, C.-M.; Youn, J.; Jung, H.-K. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. **2006**, 27, 463. (f) Marek, I.; Sklute, G. Chem. Commun. **2007**, 1683. (g) Hall, D. G. Synlett **2007**, 2007, 1644. (h) Li, J.; Menche, D. Synthesis **2009**, 2009, 2293. (i) Leighton, J. L. Aldrichimica Acta **2010**, 43, 3. (j) Yus, M.; González-Gómez, J. C.; Foubelo, F. Chem. Rev. **2011**, 111, 7774. (k) Pasco, M.; Gilboa, N.; Mejuch, T.; Marek, I. Organometallics **2013**, 32, 942.

(6) For catalytic enantioselective carbonyl allylation via redox-triggered couplings of primary alcohols and allyl acetate, see: (a) Kim, I. S.; Ngai, M.-Y.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6340.
(b) Kim, I. S.; Ngai, M.-Y.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14891.
(c) Dechert-Schmitt, A.-M. R.; Schmitt, D. C.; Krische, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3195.
(d) Shin, I.; Wang, G.; Krische, M. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 13382.

(7) (a) Zbieg, J. R.; Yamaguchi, E.; McInturff, E. L.; Krische, M. J. *Science* **2012**, 336, 324. (b) McInturff, E. L.; Yamaguchi, E.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 20628.

(8) (a) Park, B. Y.; Nguyen, K. D.; Chaulagain, M. R.; Komanduri, V.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11902. (b) Liang, T.; Nguyen, K. D.; Zhang, W.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3161. (c) Liang, T.; Zhang, W.; Chen, T.-Y.; Nguyen, K. D.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13066.

(9) Obora and Ishii report an iridium-catalyzed C–C coupling of 1aryl-1-propynes with primary alcohols to furnish racemic products of carbonyl α -(aryl)allylation. Deuterium labeling studies were not performed; however, related products are formed in iridium- and ruthenium-catalyzed C–C couplings of primary alcohols with allenes, where pathways involving allene hydrometalation have been corroborated. See the following, along with literature cited in ref 8a: Obora, Y.; Hatanaka, S.; Ishii, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3510.

(10) Indirect use of 1-alkynes in carbonyl allylation is achieved through their conversion to allylboron reagents via tandem alkyne

hydroboration-alkene isomerization, see: (a) Miura, T.; Nishida, Y.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 6223. (b) Miura, T.; Nishida, Y.; Morimoto, M.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 11497.

(11) (a) Niwa, S.; Soai, K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 937.
(b) Ramos Tombo, G. M.; Didier, E.; Loubinoux, B. Synlett 1990, 1990, 547. (c) Li, Z.; Upadhyay, V.; DeCamp, A. E.; DiMichele, L.; Reider, P. J. Synthesis 1999, 1999, 1453. (d) Tan, L.; Chen, C.-y.; Tillyer, R. D.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 711. (e) Frantz, D. E.; Fässler, R.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11245.

(12) Review: Kimura, M. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, 2nd ed.; Knochel, P., Molander, G. A., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2014; pp 606–628.

(13) Kang, J. Y.; Connell, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7826. (14) See Supporting Information for the determination of absolute stereochemistry.

(15) Fürstner, A.; Stelzer, F.; Szillat, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11863.

(16) (a) Barbazanges, M.; Augé, M.; Moussa, J.; Amouri, H.; Aubert, C.; Desmarets, C.; Fensterbank, L.; Gandon, V.; Malacria, M.; Ollivier, C. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 13789. (b) Shibata, T.; Kobayashi, Y.; Maekawa, S.; Toshida, N.; Takagi, K. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 9018.
(c) Dieckmann, M.; Jang, Y.-S.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12149.